arXiv:1512.02523v1 [math.CV] 8 Dec 2015

# A REMARK ON BOUNDARY ESTIMATES ON UNBOUNDED $Z(q)$ DOMAINS IN $\mathbb{C}^n$

PHILLIP S. HARRINGTON AND ANDREW RAICH

Abstract. The goal of this note is to explore the relationship between the Folland-Kohn basic estimate and the $Z(q)$-condition. In particular, on unbounded pseudoconvex (resp., pseudoconcave) domains, we prove that the Folland-Kohn basic estimate is equivalent to uniform strict pseudoconvexity (resp., pseudoconcavity). As a corollary, we observe that despite the Siegel upper half space being strictly pseudoconvex and biholomorphic to a the unit ball, it fails to satisfy uniform strict pseudoconvexity and hence the Folland-Kohn basic estimate fails.

On unbounded non-pseudoconvex domains, we show that the Folland-Kohn basic estimate on $(0,q)$-forms implies a uniform $Z(q)$ condition, and conversely, that a uniform $Z(q)$ condition with some additional hypotheses implies the Folland-Kohn basic estimate for $(0,q)$-forms.

## Acknowledgement

We would like to dedicate this note to our colleague John Ryan on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday.

## 1. Introduction

The basic estimate in the sense of Folland and Kohn is a bound of the weighted boundary $L^2$ norm of a $(0,q)$-form $f$ by the weighted $L^2$ norms of $f$, $\bar\partial f$, and $\bar\partial_t^* f$. In this paper, we prove that on an unbounded pseudoconvex (resp., pseudoconcave) domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, the basic estimate holds in the sense of Folland and Kohn [FK72] if and only if $\Omega$ is uniformly pseudoconvex (resp., pseudoconcave). In the non-pseudoconvex case, we show that if $\Omega$ satisfies the Folland-Kohn basic estimate on $(0,q)$-forms, then $\Omega$ satisfies a uniform $Z(q)$ condition. We also establish a partial converse. If $\Omega$ satisfies a uniform $Z(q)$ condition, together with the hypotheses that guarantee the closed range estimate from [HR], then $\Omega$ satisfies a strong form of the Folland-Kohn basic estimate for $(0,q)$-forms.

In Theorems 3.2.1 and 3.2.4 in [Hör65], Hörmander showed that condition $Z(q)$ is locally equivalent to the basic estimate on $(0,q)$-forms in the sense of Folland and Kohn [FK72], with a global equivalence on bounded domains (see [Hör65, Corollary 3.2.3]). The motivation for this paper is to show that this equivalence fails on unbounded domains but Hörmander's Theorem 3.2.1 actually implies a stronger condition than $Z(q)$ on unbounded domains. Specifically, the $Z(q)$ condition must be replaced with a uniform $Z(q)$ condition. These two conditions are equivalent on bounded domains.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32W05, Secondary 32W10, 32T15, 35N15.

*Key words and phrases.* $Z(q)$, uniform $Z(q)$, strictly pseudoconvex, Heisenberg group, Siegel upper half space, unbounded domain, closed range of $\bar\partial$.

The second author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1405100.

When $q = 1$, the uniform $Z(q)$ condition is a uniform strict pseudoconvexity condition which we will show that the Siegel upper half space fails to satisfy. As a corollary, we obtain a result that is strikingly different than the bounded case, namely, strict pseudoconvexity is no longer sufficient for the Folland-Kohn basic estimate to hold.

This note is continuation of our exploration of the $L^2$ theory of $\bar\partial$ on unbounded domains. The $L^2$-theory of the $\bar\partial$ operator in $L^2_{0,q}(\Omega)$ is defective for most unbounded domains – see [HR, HM]. This fact motivated the development of a function theory that could overcome the problems with the unweighted theory. In [HR13, HR14], we built a theory for Sobolev spaces and elliptic operators that is appropriate for an examination of the $\bar\partial$-Neumann problem on unbounded domains. In [HR], we formulated a weak $Z(q)$ condition that sufficed to prove closed range for $\bar\partial$ and (consequently) the continuity of a weighted $\bar\partial$-Neumann operator $N_{q,t}$ on $(0,q)$-forms in an appropriate weighted Sobolev space $H^s_t(\Omega)$.

Gansberger was the first to investigate closed range of $\bar\partial$ in (weighted) $L^2$ on unbounded domains [Gan], but his focus was on compactness of the $\bar\partial$-Neumann operator on pseudoconvex domains. In [HR11], we began our investigation of sufficient conditions for closed range of $\bar\partial$ on $(0,q)$-forms for a *fixed* $q$, $0 < q < n$. This led to a generalization in [HR15], suitable for $C^3$ domains in a Stein manifold. It was the definition of weak $Z(q)$ in [HR15] that we modified for unbounded domains in [HR14]. Herbig and McNeal [HM] also explore closed range of $\bar\partial$ on pseudoconvex domains that are not necessarily bounded.

The outline of this note is as follows: we state definitions and formulate the main results in Section 2 and prove the main theorems in Section 3.

## 2. Definitions and Results

2.1. **Definitions.** To continue the discussion, we need to introduce some terminology. Our definitions follow the setup in [HR13, HR14, HR].

A function $\rho : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a *defining function* for $\Omega$ if $\Omega = \{z : \rho(z) < 0\}$ and $d\rho \neq 0$ on $\mathrm{b}\Omega$. The *Levi form* of $\Omega$ is the restriction of the complex Hessian of a defining function $\rho$ to the maximal complex tangent space (locally, an $(n-1)\times(n-1)$ matrix). The induced CR-structure on $\mathrm{b}\Omega$ at $z \in \mathrm{b}\Omega$ is

$$T^{1,0}_z(\mathrm{b}\Omega) = \{L \in T^{1,0}(\mathbb{C}) : \partial\rho(L) = 0\}.$$

Let $T^{1,0}(\mathrm{b}\Omega)$ be the space of $C^{m-1}$ sections of $T^{1,0}_z(\mathrm{b}\Omega)$ and $T^{0,1}(\mathrm{b}\Omega) = \overline{T^{1,0}(\mathrm{b}\Omega)}$. We denote the exterior algebra generated by the dual forms by $\Lambda^{p,q}(\mathrm{b}\Omega)$. If we normalize $\rho$ so that $|d\rho| = 1$ on $\mathrm{b}\Omega$, then the *normalized Levi form* Ł is the real element of $\Lambda^{1,1}(\mathrm{b}\Omega)$ defined by

$$Ł(-iL \wedge \bar L) = i\partial\bar\partial\rho(-iL \wedge \bar L)$$

for any $L \in T^{1,0}(\mathrm{b}\Omega)$.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain with a $C^m$ boundary, $m \geq 2$. We say that $\Omega$ satisfies *condition* $Z(q)$ if the Levi form has at least $(n-q)$-positive or at least $(q+1)$-negative eigenvalues. We say that $\Omega$ satisfies the *uniform* $Z(q)$ *condition* if for some $\lambda > 0$ the normalized Levi form has at least $(n-q)$ eigenvalues greater than $\lambda$ or at least $(q+1)$ eigenvalues smaller than $-\lambda$.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain with $C^m$ boundary $\mathrm{b}\Omega$, $m \geq 3$.

**Definition 2.2.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $\rho$ be a $C^m$ defining function for $\Omega$ defined on a neighborhood $U$ of $b\Omega$ such that

(1) $\operatorname{dist}(\partial\Omega, \partial U) > 0$,
(2) $\|\rho\|_{C^m(U)} < \infty$,
(3) $\inf_U |\nabla\rho| > 0$.

We say that such a defining function is *uniformly* $C^m$. If $\rho$ on $U$ is uniformly $C^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we say $\rho$ is *uniformly* $C^\infty$.

In [HR13], we show that we may assume $|\nabla\rho| = 1$ on $U$ without loss of generality. In fact, the existence of any uniformly $C^m$ defining function implies that the signed distance function is uniformly $C^m$.

We identify real $(1,1)$-forms with a hermitian matrix as follows:

$$c = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} ic_{j\bar{k}}\, dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k$$

We denote the $L^2$-inner product on $L^2(\Omega, e^{-t|z|^2})$ by

$$(f,g)_t = \int_\Omega \langle f, g\rangle\, e^{-t|z|^2} dV = \int_\Omega f\bar{g}\, e^{-t|z|^2} dV$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the standard pointwise inner product on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $dV$ is Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$. We denote $d\sigma$ as the induced surface area measure on $b\Omega$. Also $\|f\|_t^2 = \int_\Omega |f|^2 e^{-t|z|^2}\, dV$.

Let $\bar\partial : L^2_{0,q}(\Omega, e^{-t|z|^2}) \to L^2_{0,q+1}(\Omega, e^{-t|z|^2})$ denote the maximal closure of the Cauchy-Riemann operator, and let $\bar\partial_t^* : L^2_{0,q+1}(\Omega, e^{-t|z|^2}) \to L^2_{0,q}(\Omega, e^{-t|z|^2})$ denote the adjoint with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_t$.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain of class $C^1$ and $1 \le q \le n$. We say that $\Omega$ satisfies the *Folland-Kohn basic estimate on* $(0,q)$*-forms* if there exists $t > 0$ and a constant $C_t > 0$ so that for all $(0,q)$-forms $f \in \operatorname{Dom}(\bar\partial) \cap \operatorname{Dom}(\bar\partial_t^*)$, $1 \le q \le n-1$,

$$\int_{b\Omega} |f|^2 e^{-t|z|^2}\, d\sigma \le C_t\Big(\|\bar\partial f\|_t^2 + \|\bar\partial_t^* f\|_t^2\Big). \tag{1}$$

Our definition for weak $Z(q)$ follows [HR15].

**Definition 2.4.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain with a uniformly $C^m$ defining function $\rho$ satisfying $|d\rho||_{b\Omega} = 1$, $m \ge 3$. We say $b\Omega$ (or $\Omega$) satisfies *Z(q) weakly* if there exists a hermitian matrix $\Upsilon = (\Upsilon^{\bar{k}j})$ of functions on $b\Omega$ that are uniformly bounded in $C^{m-1}$ such that

(i) All eigenvalues of $\Upsilon$ lie in the interval $[0,1]$.
(ii) $\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_q - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \Upsilon^{\bar{k}j}\rho_{j\bar{k}} \ge 0$ where $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{n-1}$ are the eigenvalues of the Levi form Ł in increasing order.
(iii) $\inf_{z\in b\Omega}\{|q - \operatorname{Tr}(\Upsilon)|\} > 0$.

We showed in [HR] that weak $Z(q)$ suffices for the closed range of $\bar\partial$ in $L^2_{0,q}(\Omega, e^{-t|z|^2})$ and $L^2_{0,q+1}(\Omega, e^{-t|z|^2})$ for $t$ (when the Levi-form has $n-q$ nonnegative eigenvalues) or $-t$ (when the Levi-form has $q+1$ nonpositive eigenvalues) sufficiently large. Additionally, we proved the following lemma in [HR15] outlining the relationship between weak $Z(q)$ and $Z(q)$.

**Lemma 2.5** (Lemma 2.8, [HR15])**.** *For $1 \le q \le n-1$ let $\Omega \subset M$ be a domain for which $b\Omega$ satisfies $Z(q)$ weakly. Let $\Upsilon$ be as in Definition 2.4. For any fixed boundary point, if*

$\mathrm{Tr}(\Upsilon) < q$ *then the Levi form has at least* $n-q$ *nonnegative eigenvalues, and if* $\mathrm{Tr}(\Upsilon) > q$, *then the Levi form has at least* $q+1$ *nonpositive eigenvalues.*

*Additionally, if the inequality in Definition 2.4.ii is strictly positive, then* $\Omega$ *satisfies* $Z(q)$, *and if this inequality is uniformly bounded away from* 0, *then* $\Omega$ *satisfies* $Z(q)$ *uniformly.*

In [HR15], Lemma 2.5 is stated for boundary terms but the result is local and boundedness appears nowhere in the proof. Also, the statements in the lemma about $Z(q)$ and uniform $Z(q)$ are implicit in the argument leading to [HR15, Lemma 2.8].

2.2. **Results.**

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ *be a domain of class* $C^3$ *such that the sum of any* $q$ *eigenvalues of the normalized Levi-form is nonnegative or the sum of any* $n-1-q$ *eigenvalues of the normalized Levi-form is nonpositive for some* $1 \leq q \leq n-1$. *The domain* $\Omega$ *satisfies the uniform* $Z(q)$ *condition if and only if there exists* $t_0 \geq 0$ *such that* $\Omega$ *satisfies the Folland-Kohn basic estimate on* $(0,q)$*-forms for all* $t \geq t_0$. *When the sum of any* $q$ *eigenvalues of the normalized Levi-form is nonnegative, we only require* $C^2$ *boundary and we may take* $t_0 = 0$.

*Remark* 2.7. The assumption that the sum of any $q$ eigenvalues of the normalized Levi-form is nonnegative is known as weak $q$-convexity in [Ho91].

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ *be a pseudoconvex (resp., pseudoconcave) domain of class* $C^3$. *The domain* $\Omega$ *is uniformly strictly pseudoconvex (resp., pseudoconcave) if and only if there exists* $t_0 \geq 0$ *such that* $\Omega$ *satisfies the Folland-Kohn basic estimate on* $(0,q)$*-forms for all* $1 \leq q \leq n-1$ *and* $t \geq t_0$. *When* $\Omega$ *is pseudoconvex, we only require* $C^2$ *boundary and we may take* $t_0 = 0$.

To specialize to $(0,q)$-forms, we need to appeal to the full basic estimate in [HR].

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ *be a* $C^2$ *domain and* $1 \leq q \leq n-1$. *If* $\Omega$ *satisfies the Folland-Kohn basic estimate for* $(0,q)$*-forms, then* $\Omega$ *satisfies* $Z(q)$ *uniformly.*

*Conversely, if* $\Omega$ *is an an unbounded domain admitting a uniformly* $C^3$ *defining function and satisfies* $Z(q)$ *uniformly and* $Z(q)$ *weakly, then there exists* $t_0 \geq 0$ *so that whenever* $t > t_0$, *there exists* $C_t$ *so that* $\Omega$ *satisfies the Folland-Kohn basic estimate on* $(0,q)$*-forms for all* $t \geq t_0$.

Our primary (non)example is the Siegel upper half space

$$\Sigma = \{(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : \operatorname{Im} w > |z|^2\}.$$

The boundary of the Siegel upper half space is the Heisenberg group, $\mathbb{H}^n$. Given the description of $\Sigma$ as a global graph, it is natural to use the defining function $r(z,w) = |z|^2 - \frac{w-\bar{w}}{2i}$, but $r$ is not a uniformly $C^3$ defining function since $|dr| = 1 + 4|z|^2$ is not bounded. However, $\rho(z,w) = \frac{r(z,w)}{|dr(z,w)|}$ is a uniformly $C^\infty$ defining function.

**Theorem 2.10.** *The Siegel upper half space* $\Sigma$ *is a uniformly* $C^\infty$ *strictly pseudoconvex domain, but is not uniformly strictly pseudoconvex, so the Folland-Kohn basic estimate (1) fails to hold on* $(0,q)$*-forms for any* $1 \leq q \leq n-1$ *and* $t \geq 0$.

## 3. Proofs and Examples

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.10 and provide an example of a uniformly $Z(q)$ domain. The proofs of Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.9 are essentially the same – the main difference is which basic estimate from [HR] to apply.

3.1. **Proofs of Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.9.** The proof that (1) implies the uniform $Z(q)$ condition can be taken nearly verbatim from the argument that establishes [Hör65, Corollary 3.2.3]. Hörmander's arguments reduce to a local argument that renders irrelevant both the weight and unboundedness.

The proofs of the converse directions follow from the basic estimates in [HR]. For Theorem 2.6 in the case where the sum of any $q$ eigenvalues of the Levi-form are nonnegative, use [HR, Proposition 3.1] and [Str10, Lemma 4.7]. When the sum of any $n-q-1$ eigenvalues is nonpositive, use [HR, Proposition 3.3] and [HR, Lemma 3.4] with $\Upsilon$ equal to the identity restricted to $T^{1,0}(b\Omega)$. For Theorem 2.9, use [HR, Proposition 3.3] and [HR, Lemma 3.4]. The only difference for this proof is that our hypotheses are sufficient to force the boundary integral term to dominate $\int_{\mathrm{b}\Omega} |f|^2 e^{-t|z|^2}\, dz$ using [Str10, Lemma 4.7]. When $\Omega$ is pseudo-concave, we have a basic identity analogous to [HR, Proposition 3.1] but given by [HPR15, Equation (2)], with the more general basic identity given at the end of [HPR15, Section 1.2]. □

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.10.** The definition of the Levi form required $|d\rho| = 1$ on $\mathrm{b}\Sigma$. The standard defining function for the Siegel upper half space $\Sigma = \{(z,w) : \operatorname{Im} w = |z|^2\}$ is

$$r(z,w) = \frac{w-\bar{w}}{2i} - |z|^2.$$

This function is clearly unsuitable to use to compute the normalized Levi form. Instead, we use the signed distance function, $\tilde{\delta}(z)$, defined by

$$\tilde{\delta}(z) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{dist}(z, \mathrm{b}\Sigma) & \text{if } z \notin \bar{\Sigma} \\ -\operatorname{dist}(z, \mathrm{b}\Sigma) & \text{if } z \in \bar{\Sigma}. \end{cases}$$

If $p \in \mathrm{b}\Sigma$ and local coordinates $(y_1, \ldots, y_4)$ satisfy $\frac{\partial r(p)}{\partial y_j} = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, 3$, then

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\delta}(p)}{\partial y_j \partial y_k} = |\nabla r|^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 r(p)}{\partial y_j \partial y_k},$$

(see for example [HR13, (2.9)]). Since $T^{1,0}(b\Omega)$ is spanned by $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2i\bar{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial w}$, the normalized Levi-form can be computed by

$$\mathcal{L}(-iL \wedge \bar{L}) = -|\nabla r|^{-1} = -(1+4|z|^2)^{-1/2}.$$

Since $|L|^2 = \frac{1}{2} + 2|z|^2$, we have

$$|L|^{-2}\mathcal{L}(-iL \wedge \bar{L}) = -2(1+4|z|^2)^{-3/2},$$

and hence the only eigenvalue of the Levi-form is $-2(1+4|z|^2)^{-3/2}$. Since this approaches 0 as $|z| \to \infty$ for $z \in \mathrm{b}\Sigma$, it follows that the Siegel upper half space is $Z(0)$ but not uniformly $Z(0)$. Thus, (1) does not hold on $\Sigma$ by Theorem 2.9. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.10. □

3.3. **A Positive Example.** Let

$$\Omega = \Big\{(z', z_n) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C} : |z'|^2 + 2\,\mathrm{Re}(z_n)^2 < \frac{1}{2}\Big\}.$$

In this case,

$$\rho(z', z_n) = |z'|^2 + 2\,\mathrm{Re}(z_n)^2 - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since $|d\rho|^2 = 4|z'|^2 + 16\,\mathrm{Re}(z_n)^2$, we have $|d\rho|^2 = 8\,\mathrm{Re}(z_n)^2 + 2 \geq 2$ and $|d\rho|^2 = -4|z'|^2 + 4 \leq 4$ on $b\Omega$. Since $i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho = I$, the identity matrix, the Levi form is also an identity matrix with eigenvalues bounded between $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Thus $\Omega$ satisfies $Z(q)$ uniformly for all $1 \leq q \leq n-1$. Since $\Omega$ is also pseudoconvex, we can use the Morrey-Kohn-Hörmander formula to prove (1) for $t = 0$.

## References


[FK72] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn. *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann Complex*, volume 75 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1972.

[Gan] K. Gansberger. On the weighted $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem on unbounded domains. arXiv:0912.0841v1.

[HM] A.-K. Herbig and J. D. McNeal. On closed range for $\bar{\partial}$. *submitted*. arXiv:1410.3559v1.

[Ho91] L.-H. Ho. $\overline{\partial}$-problem on weakly $q$-convex domains. *Math. Ann.*, 290(1):3–18, 1991.

[Hör65] L. Hörmander. $L^2$ estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator. *Acta Math.*, 113:89–152, 1965.

[HPR15] P.S. Harrington, M.M. Peloso, and A.S. Raich. Regularity equivalence of the Szegö projection and the complex Green operator. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 143(1):353–367, 2015. arXiv:1305.0188.

[HR] P. Harrington and A. Raich. Closed range for $\bar{\partial}$ on unbounded domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$. *submitted*. arXiv:1507.06211.

[HR11] P. Harrington and A. Raich. Regularity results for $\bar{\partial}_b$ on CR-manifolds of hypersurface type. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 36(1):134–161, 2011.

[HR13] P. Harrington and A. Raich. Defining functions for unbounded $C^m$ domains. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 29(4):1405–1420, 2013.

[HR14] P. Harrington and A. Raich. Sobolev spaces and elliptic theory on unbounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 19(7/8):635–692, 2014.

[HR15] P. Harrington and A. Raich. Closed range for $\bar{\partial}$ and $\bar{\partial}_b$ on bounded hypersurfaces in Stein manifolds. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 65(4):1711–1754, 2015.

[Str10] E. Straube. *Lectures on the $\mathcal{L}^2$-Sobolev Theory of the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann Problem*. ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2010.



SCEN 309, 1 University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701

*E-mail address*: psharrin@uark.edu, araich@uark.edu